

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,863	02/08/2000	FREDERIC DONIE	BMID9974US 7240	
75	90 07/15/2003			
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			LI, BAO Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/423,863	DONIE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Bao Qun Li	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	April 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-46</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 53,62 and 63 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-52,54-61 and 64</u> is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>53, 62 and 63</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Application/Control Number: 09/423,863 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 44-64 are pending.

Response to Amendment

This is a response to the amendment, paper No. 33, filed 04/28/03 has been acknowledged. Claims 34-43 have been canceled. Claims 44-64 are added. Claims 53, 62-63 are restricted and withdrawn from the consideration because they are not directed to the elected groups. Claims 44-52, 54-61 and 64 are considered before the examiner.

Please note any ground of rejection(s) that has not been repeated is removed. Text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Because Applicants cancel all rejected claims 34-43, no issues will be discussed upon the rejection of the claims 34-43.

A new ground rejection is based on these newly filed claims 44-52, 54-61 and 64.

Applicants are reminded to cancel the claims 53 and 62-63 drawn to the non-elected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 44-52, 54-61 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The claim 44 is also vague in that the use of a relative term of "derived". Since the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree of derivation and the term of "derivation" has many interpretations, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably appraised of the scope of the invention. Therefore the claim is considered as indefinite. This affects the dependent claims 45-52, 54-61 and 64.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,863

Art Unit: 1648

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 51-52, 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 6. In the instant disclosure, the applicants have only disclosed the sequences identified as SEQ ID NO: 29-39, in which the mixture of one subtype of HIV isolate with another serotype HIV isolate is used as an antigen epitope suitable for detecting an antibodies of all HIV subtypes. No other sequences which having at lease 10 or 7 amino acids to SEQ ID NO; 29-39 are disclosed for being able to detect all subtype of the HIV antibodies. The specification does not set forth the metes and bounds of that encompass 7-10 amino acids. There is not enough information about it in literature either to guide the one of ordinary skill in the art to predict the undisclosed 7-10 amino acids. Therefore, a written description of the other claimed 7-10 amino acids to overcome this rejection. See also University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co., 43 USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997), which teaches that the disclosure of a process for obtaining cDNA from a particular organism and the description of the encoded protein fail to provide an adequate written description of the actual cDNA from that organism which would encode the protein from that organism, despite the disclosure of a cDNA encoding that protein from another organism. 35 USC 112 requires inter alia that "a patent specification contain a written description of the invention and the manner and process of making and using it in such full clear and concise terms as to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention". Case law has made it clear that the requirements for a "written description" and an "enabling disclosure" are separate. For example, where a specification contains sufficient information to enable a skilled chemist to produce a particular compound because it gives detailed information on how to

Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

produce analogous compounds but it makes no reference to the compound in question, the "written description" requirement has not been met even though the description may be enabling.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Qun Li whose telephone number is 703-305-1695. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Bao Qun Li

July 9, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINÉR TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600